[HOUSE/SENATE] RESOLUTION [RESOLUTION NUMBER]

BY [REPRESENTATIVES(S)/SENATOR(S)] [NAMES]

CONCERNING THE DESIGNATION OF APRIL AS SECOND CHANCE MONTH

WHEREAS, every person is endowed with human dignity and value;

WHEREAS, redemption and second chances are American values;

WHEREAS, an estimated 65 million American citizens have a criminal record; [[1]](#footnote-1)

WHEREAS, the U.S. Department of Justice reports that over 620,000 individuals returned to their community from state and federal prisons in 2015, including [number] from [state]; [[2]](#footnote-2)

WHEREAS, individuals those who have paid their debt after committing a crime face significant barriers, also known as collateral consequences, to reintegrating into society;

WHEREAS, the Council of State Governments reports that the number of legal collateral consequences of a criminal conviction exceeds 48,000; [[3]](#footnote-3)

WHEREAS, many of these consequences are mandatory, without taking into account the seriousness of the offense, the time passed since the offense, the individual’s efforts to make amends and earn back the public’s trust, or whether the consequence has a proven public safety benefit;

WHEREAS, gaining meaningful employment is one of the most significant predictors of successful reentry and reducing future criminal activity; [[4]](#footnote-4)

WHEREAS, ninety percent of those who have previously been incarcerated struggle to find employment because of both societal and legal barriers which are often not directly related to the offense committed or any proven public safety benefit; [[5]](#footnote-5)

WHEREAS, every jurisdiction has laws which prohibit individuals with a criminal record from working in certain industries, such as cosmetology, operation of arcade games, automotive parts recycling, camping equipment sales, boiler inspection, and many others;[[6]](#footnote-6)

WHEREAS, over sixty percent of employers report they would not be willing to hire someone with any criminal record and many employers utilize a blanket no-hire policy for individuals with a criminal record; [[7]](#footnote-7)

WHEREAS, education has also been shown to be a significant predictor of successful reentry; [[8]](#footnote-8)

WHEREAS, those with a criminal record have lower levels of educational attainment than the general population and have significant difficulty acquiring admission to and funding for educational programs; [[9]](#footnote-9)

WHEREAS, individuals convicted of certain crimes are barred from receiving the financial aid necessary to acquire additional skills and knowledge; [[10]](#footnote-10)

WHEREAS, many individuals, regardless of their efforts to live positively, are denied admission to institutions of higher education on account of their criminal record despite a lack of empirical evidence showing a campus safety risk; [[11]](#footnote-11)

WHEREAS, people with a criminal history also face significant barriers in securing a place to live;

WHEREAS, fifty-six percent of landlords are unwilling to rent to an individual with a criminal record containing a felony charge and thirty-two percent are unwilling to rent to an individual with a previous misdemeanor conviction; [[12]](#footnote-12)

WHEREAS, individuals with criminal history are also barred from seeking access to public housing or receiving public housing assistance; [[13]](#footnote-13)

WHEREAS, this inability to access housing has been found to increase the number of individuals returning to prison; [[14]](#footnote-14)

WHEREAS, many people with a criminal history also face other significant challenges such as an inability to regain voting rights, volunteer in their community, and secure identification documentation, and significant debt as a result of their conviction and incarceration; [[15]](#footnote-15)

WHEREAS, all of these barriers prevent the 65 million Americans with criminal records from contributing fully to their families and communities;

WHEREAS, these barriers can contribute to recidivism, which increases victimization and decreases public safety;

WHEREAS, the inability to find gainful employment and other collateral consequences of conviction inhibit the economic mobility of people with criminal history, which negatively impacts the well-being of their children and families for generations;[[16]](#footnote-16)

WHEREAS, the legal barriers and stigma associated with a criminal record results in lost human capital and lost economic output for the United States;[[17]](#footnote-17)

WHEREAS, the anniversary of the Second Chance Act of 2007, which has provided reentry services to over 137,000 people in 49 states and the District of Columbia since its passage, falls on April 9;[[18]](#footnote-18)

WHEREAS, the anniversary of the death of Charles Colson, who used his second chance following his incarceration for a Watergate-related crime to found Prison Fellowship, the nation’s largest outreach to prisoners and their families, falls on April 21, 2012;

WHEREAS, a robust coalition of businesses, congregations, and other organizations across the country has celebrated Second Chance Month since April 2017 through events, Second Chance 5ks, briefings, social media campaigns, advocacy, press, and other activities; [[19]](#footnote-19)

WHEREAS, the designation of April as Second Chance Month can contribute to increased public awareness about the challenges faced by those who have paid their debt, and opportunities for individuals, employers, congregations, and communities to extend second chances: now, therefore,

*Be It Resolved by the [SENATE/HOUSE] of the [YEAR/SESSION NUMBER] General Assembly of the State of [STATE NAME]:*

1. designates April [YEAR] as “Second Chance Month”;
2. honors the work of communities, governmental institutions, non-profits, congregations, employers, and individuals to remove unnecessary legal and societal barriers that prevent individuals with a criminal record from becoming productive members of society;
3. calls upon the people of the [STATE NAME] to observe Second Chance Month through actions and programs that promote awareness of the challenges facing those who have paid their debt and opportunities to unlock second chances.

*Be It Further Resolved,* That copies of this Resolution be sent to the Honorable [First Last], President of the United States; Vice President [First Last]; United States Attorney General [First Last]; the Honorable [First Last], Governor of [State]; Lt. Gov. [First Last]; [First Last], Executive Director of the [State] Department of Corrections; and to each member of [State’s] Congressional Delegation.
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